IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Examiner

Gregory J. Strimbu

Art Unit

3634

Applicants

Philip O. Gerard

Serial No.

10/042,738

Filing Date

May 24, 2002

For '

WINDOW FRAME WITH BOTH TEMPORARY AND

PERMANENT CONNECTIONS

Mail Stop Appeal Brief - Patents Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 RECEIVED SEC 1 6 2004 GROUP 3600

APPEALS & INTERFERENCES

REPLY BRIEF

The Examiner makes a new additional argument in the Examiner's Answer. This Reply Brief responds to that argument.

I. Hopper Does Not Disclose. Teach, Suggest, or Contemplate Rotating a Frame Half

The Examiner asserts that Hopper discloses rotating one of the frame halves in its own plane between a ship orientation and an install orientation, on the basis that "since applicant has failed to define any element of the invention which the second frame half is rotated with respect to, the second frame half can be moved between the ship and install orientations by simply rotating the whole assembly in its own plane."

The Examiner makes this assertion for the first time in the Examiner's Answer, despite the extensive prosecution history of this continuation application and its parent application. The Applicant respectfully disagrees with the Examiner's assertion.

First, Hopper does not suggest or contemplate -- let alone teach -- 1) separate "ship" and "install" orientations or 2) rotating either or both frame halves between such two orientations. The only motivation for the Examiner's assertion is an improper attempted

hindsight reconstruction of the present invention. There simply is no support or motivation, either in Hopper or the art, for the Examiner's assertion.

Second, the claim must be read in the context of the specification and the extensive prosecution history of this continuation application and its parent. For example, the specification states at page 2, lines 20-22 that:

The frame halves can be oriented with respect to one another in either a "ship" orientation or an "install" orientation. The frame halves can be moved between the two orientations by rotating one of the frame halves by 180 degrees." (Emphasis added).

When properly read within the context of the specification and prosecution history, it is abundantly clear that the rotation of one frame half is respect to the other frame half.

For the reasons stated in this Reply Brief and the previous Appeal Brief, the Applicant remains of the opinion that the Examiners' rejections are improper and should be reversed.

Respectfully submitted,

PHILIP O. GERARD

By: Warner Norcross & Judd LLP

Original Signed By Charles E. Burpee

Charles E. Burpee Registration No. 29 776 900 Fifth Third Center 111 Lyon Street, N.W. Grand Rapids, MI 49503-2487 (616) 752-2141

1010003-2

SEP 2 0 2004



United States Patent and Trademark Office

Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property and Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

Warner, Norcross & Judd, LLP Intellectual Property Practice Group 900 Fifth Third Center 111 Lyon Street, N.W. Grand Rapids, MI 49503-2487

Paper No: 13

Appeal No: 2004-2256 Application: 10/042,738 Appellant: Philip O. Gerard

Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences Docketing Notice

Application 10/042,738 was received from the Technology Center at the Board on August 17, 2004 and has been assigned Appeal No: 2004-2256.

A review of the file indicates that the following documents have been filed by appellant:

Appeal Brief filed on:

March 18, 2004

Reply Brief filed on:

NONE

Request for Hearing filed on: NONE

In all future communications regarding this appeal, please include both the application number and the appeal number.

The mailing address for the Board is:

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE P.O. BOX 1450 **ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313-1450**

The facsimile number of the Board is 703-308-7952. Because of the heightened security in the Washington D.C. area, facsimile communications are recommended. Telephone inquiries can be made by calling 703-308-9797 and should be directed to a Program and Resource Administrator.

By order of the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences



WARNER NORCROSS & JUDD LLP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW 900 Fifth Third Center 111 Lyon Street, N.W. Grand Rapids, Michigan 49503-2487

Main Switchboard 616.752.2000

Fax Numbers 616.222.2313 616.752.2500

APPE INTERFE

Fax Cover Sheet

ENCES 201

Date:

September 23, 2004

Pages:

A, including this cover sheet

To:

Board of Patent Appeals and

From:

Chad E. Kleinheksel

Interferences

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Warner Norcross & Judd LLP

Fax Number:

703-308-7952

Direct Dial:

616.752.2313

Telephone:

703-308-9797

Direct Fax:

616.222.2313

Email:

ckleinheksel@wnj.com

Client Number:

11085.68161-003

Remarks:

Re:

Serial No. 10/042,738

Appeal No. 2004-2256

Attached is a copy of our Reply Brief (in triplicate) which we are resending to you in response to a Docketing Notice mailed September 16, 2004. This Reply Brief was originally mailed to you on August 6, 2004.

RECEIVED

DEC 1 6 2004

GROUP 3600